IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

1) CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.322 OF 2006.
2) CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.323 OF 2006.
3) CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.324 OF 2006.

Mrs.Rajyalaxmi V. Rao. ... Petitioner.
(In all petitions)

Versus.

State of Maharashtra & others. ... Respondents.
(In all petitions)

Shri R.D.Soni i/by M/s.Khaitan & Jayakar for the
Petitioner in all the above petitions.
Shri Y.M.Nakhwa, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1-State.
Shri A.A.Garge for Respondent No.2.

AND

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 430 OF 2006

Mohan Motiram Jayakar. ... Petitioner.
Versus.
State of Maharashtra & others. ... Respondents.

Shri R.D.Soni with for the
Petitioners in all the petitions.

Shri Y.M.Nakhwa, A.P.P. for Respondent no.1-State.

Shri A.A.Garge for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 17th October, 2006.

P.C.:

1. In view of the decision of the learned Single Judge of this court in the case of V.K.Jain & others v/s. Pratap Padode (2005 (3) Mah.L.J. 778), the learned Advocate for the Petitioners seeks permission to withdraw these petition with liberty to file revision applications before the appropriate Court for challenging the orders issuing the process. He prayed

that ad-interim relief which is operating be extended for a reasonable time to enable the Petitioners to apply for interim relief before the Sessions Court.

2. The writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed. Ad-interim relief which is operating is extended by eight weeks from today to enable the Petitioners to apply for appropriate relief before the Sessions Court.

3. If the Revision Applications are preferred by the Petitioners, it is obvious that the concerned Sessions Court is bound to consider the fact that petitions were pending in this Court for few months. All contentions of the parties on merits are expressly kept open.

Judge.